|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **REFUGEE REPORT** | | **HOST COMMUNITY REPORT** | |
| 2-3 pages | **Introduction & executive summary**   * ¾ page: Well-crafted (but concise) introduction providing a deeper overview of the context compared to previous REACH reports.   + Basic drivers of this crisis and displacement over the past few decades. ([Somalia example](https://www.impact-repository.org/document/reach/f6ea130a/reach_som_initial_findings_report_joint_multi_cluster_needs_assessment_2018.pdf), pages 19-21, is a bit more detailed but a good example)   + Touch upon the lack of a clear legal framework and lack of opportunities / basic services / basic rights extending back to Myanmar.   + Other socio-cultural characteristics of Rohingyas in Bangladesh. If you find something compelling during your SDR regarding reduced capacities upon arrival in BGD, can mention it here (as the key messages will also touch a lot upon coping capacities)   + Something about natural hazards in this area   + Summary of the evolution of the response ([2018](https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/JRP%20for%20Rohingya%20Humanitarian%20Crisis%20-%20FOR%20DISTRIBUTION.PDF) and [2019](https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/2019%20JRP%20for%20Rohingya%20Humanitarian%20Crisis%20%28February%202019%29.compressed_0.pdf) JRPs) * Assessment design (coordination structure, information gaps, general objectives, etc.) * 1 page: half MSNA-specific key messages largely aligned with the SEG presentation, half a summary of key vulnerabilities | 2-3 pages | **Introduction & executive summary**   * ¾ page: Well-crafted (but concise) introduction providing a deeper overview of the context compared to previous REACH reports.   + Key stats about Cox’s Bazar district being a generally underdeveloped area even within Bangladesh (set the tone by portraying this as a development context).   + Contextualize this recent influx: BGD has been receiving refugees for decades but the scale of this recent influx has been unprecedented   + The government has been [insert all the complimentary adjectives here]   + Response has largely focused on: core activities can be summarized throughout this [UNDP report](https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/sites/www.humanitarianresponse.info/files/2019/08/UNDP_Impacts-of-the-Rohingya-Refugee-Influx-on-Host-Communities_Nov2018.pdf) * Assessment design (coordination structure, information gaps, general objectives, etc.) * 1 page: half MSNA-specific key messages largely aligned with the SEG presentation, half a summary of key vulnerabilities |
|  | No additional / separate introduction section |  | No additional / separate introduction section |
| 2-3 pages | **Coordination and Methodology**   * Research design processes   + Link to TOR   + Refer to the analysis framework embedded in TOR, draw links to the JIAF and MIRA   + Highlight which Sectors and Working Groups were engaged, MSNA TWG’s membership and role, TWB as the translator to ensure cultural comprehension, conducting consultative group discussions with men and women as part of research design, ACAPS formative research on vulnerability, Sectors training enumerators directly, etc. * Assessment coverage and population of interest * Sampling strategy and household selection   + Normal explanation – stratified SRS of UNOSAT/REACH shelter footprints, non eligibility rates, achieved sample size, etcetc.   + Explain generalizability of findings in this report specifically   + Gender of respondents   + A few ethical considerations of our data collection: do no harm, informed consent / right to refuse to complete the survey, referral resources * Data cleaning and analysis   + (link clean dataset)   + Process overview (draw from other REACH reports)   + Mention the Joint Analysis Workshop   + Allude back to the analysis framework (particularly about the need to understand how humanitarian conditions and gaps in services might be related to specific household characteristics) * Challenges and Limitations | 2-3 pages | **Coordination and Methodology**   * Research design processes   + Link to TOR   + Refer to the analysis framework embedded in TOR, draw links to the JIAF and MIRA   + Highlight which Sectors and Working Groups were engaged, MSNA TWG’s membership and role, TWB as the translator to ensure cultural comprehension, conducting consultative group discussions with men and women as part of research design, Sectors training enumerators directly, etc. * Assessment coverage and population of interest (no St. Martin’s) * Sampling strategy and household selection   + Normal explanation – stratified SRS of UNOSAT/REACH shelter footprints, non eligibility rates, achieved sample size, etcetc.   + Explain generalizability of findings in this report specifically   + Gender of respondents   + A few ethical considerations of our data collection: do no harm, informed consent / right to refuse to complete the survey * Data cleaning and analysis (link clean dataset)   + (link clean dataset)   + Process overview (draw from other REACH reports)   + Mention the Joint Analysis Workshop   + Allude back to the analysis framework (particularly about the need to understand how humanitarian conditions and gaps in services might be related to specific household characteristics) * Challenges and Limitations (no Shahporir Dwip) |
| 9-10 pages | **Key findings**   * Link to factsheets and dashboard, explain the scope of the findings in this report, and how they can be used and interpreted as a cohesive set of findings   *Gender of head of household (I.HH\_CHAR.gender\_hoh.HH ----- male vs. female):*   |  |  | | --- | --- | | % of HH taking on new debts (y/n) | I.coping.new\_debt | | % of HH by FSC | I.FSL.food\_consumption\_score.HH | | % of HH not being able to make improvements to their shelter | int.sni.improvement\_unable | | % of HH with enough water to meet all daily needs | I.WASH.enough\_water\_all\_needs.HH | | % of households with an individual requiring assistance | I.HEALTH.indhelp\_atleast.INDVHH | | % of households paying money for healthcare | I.HEALTH.health\_expenses\_reported.HH | | % of households facing problems accessing markets | I.FSL.market\_problems\_any | | % of households with at least one adult working | I.FSL.livelihoods\_atleast\_one\_adult.INDVHH.yes | | % of households with at least one child working | I.FSL.livelihoods\_atleast\_one\_child.INDVHH | | % of households with a child married and/or about to get married | child\_marriage | | % of households reporting *safety as a* *barrier* to health/education/markets | I.P.safety\_issues\_barriers\_key\_facilities\_any.HH | | % of households able to name at least one of four key GBV support resources (health, PSS, legal, police/security) | I.Protection.GBV\_interventions\_atleast | | % of households reporting challenges accessing distribution points | I.AAP.distribution\_challenges\_any | | % of households reporting challenges interacting with aid workers | I.AAP.aidworker\_barriers\_any |   *Highest education levels in household (I.HH\_CHAR.education\_level.HH -- categorised into 3 brackets)*   |  |  | | --- | --- | | % of HH taking on new debts (y/n) | I.coping.new\_debt | | % of households with at least one adult working | I.FSL.livelihoods\_atleast\_one\_adult.INDVHH.yes | | % of households with at least one child working | I.FSL.livelihoods\_atleast\_one\_child.INDVHH | | % of HH reporting engaging with schoolteachers about children's education | parent\_committee | | % of *individuals aged 6-14* attending a TLC | Ind\_ed\_TLC | | % of households reporting challenges accessing distribution points | distribution\_challenges | | % of households reporting challenges interacting with aid workers | aidworkers\_barriers |   *HH dependency ratio (high/low --- I can’t find the variable name but it was created)*   |  |  | | --- | --- | | % of HH taking on new debts (y/n) | I.coping.new\_debt | | % of households with at least one adult working | I.FSL.livelihoods\_atleast\_one\_adult.INDVHH.yes | | % of households with at least one child working | I.FSL.livelihoods\_atleast\_one\_child.INDVHH | | % of HH by FSC | I.FSL.food\_consumption\_score.HH | | % of HH not being able to make improvements to their shelter | int.sni.improvement\_unable | | % of HH where a barrier to education is the child being need to work | education\_barrier.help\_family |   *HHs with at least one person requiring care for daily activities*   |  |  | | --- | --- | | % of HH taking on new debts (y/n) | I.coping.new\_debt | | % of HH by FSC | I.FSL.food\_consumption\_score.HH | | % of HH not being able to make improvements to their shelter | int.sni.improvement\_unable | | % of HH not being able to make improvements to their shelter *due to lack of labor* | improvement\_reason == "no\_labor" | | % of households paying money for healthcare | I.HEALTH.health\_expenses\_reported.HH | | % of households facing problems accessing markets | I.FSL.market\_problems\_any | | % of households reporting challenges accessing distribution points | distribution\_challenges | | % of households reporting challenges interacting with aid workers | aidworkers\_barriers |   *Cross-sectoral or cross-indicator*  TBD – maximum 5 additional ones | 9-10 pages | **Key findings**   * Link to factsheets and dashboard, explain the scope of the findings in this report, and how they can be used and interpreted as a cohesive set of findings   *Gender of head of household (I.HH\_CHAR.gender\_hoh.HH ----- male vs. female):*   |  |  | | --- | --- | | % of HH taking on new debts (y/n) | I.coping.new\_debt | | % of HH by FSC | I.FSL.food\_consumption\_score.HH | | % of HH not being able to make improvements to their shelter | int.sni.improvement\_unable | | % of HH with enough water to meet all daily needs | I.WASH.enough\_water\_all\_needs.HH | | % of households with an individual requiring assistance | I.HEALTH.indhelp\_atleast.INDVHH | | % of households paying money for healthcare | I.HEALTH.health\_expenses\_reported.HH | | % of households facing problems accessing markets | I.FSL.market\_problems\_any | | % of households with at least one adult working | I.FSL.livelihoods\_atleast\_one\_adult.INDVHH.yes | | % of households with at least one child working | I.FSL.livelihoods\_atleast\_one\_child.INDVHH | | % of households with a child married and/or about to get married | child\_marriage | | % of households reporting *safety as a* *barrier* to health/education/markets | I.P.safety\_issues\_barriers\_key\_facilities\_any.HH | | % of households able to name at least one of four key GBV support resources (health, PSS, legal, police/security) | I.Protection.GBV\_interventions\_atleast | | % of households with no agricultural livelihood assets (land, fishing gear, livestock) | I.FSL.without\_livelihood\_assets.HH | | % of households reporting fears of eviction from their current home | house\_eviction |   *Highest education levels in household (NEED TO CREATE FOR HOST COMMUNITY -- categorised into 3 brackets)*   |  |  | | --- | --- | | % of HH taking on new debts (y/n) | I.coping.new\_debt | | % of households with at least one adult working | I.FSL.livelihoods\_atleast\_one\_adult.INDVHH.yes | | % of households with at least one child working | I.FSL.livelihoods\_atleast\_one\_child.INDVHH | | % of HH reporting engaging with schoolteachers about children's education | parent\_committee | | % of *individuals aged 12-17* attending formal learning activities | Ind\_formal\_learning |   *HH dependency ratio (high/low --- I can’t find the variable name but it was created)*   |  |  | | --- | --- | | % of HH taking on new debts (y/n) | I.coping.new\_debt | | % of households with at least one adult working | I.FSL.livelihoods\_atleast\_one\_adult.INDVHH.yes | | % of households with at least one child working | I.FSL.livelihoods\_atleast\_one\_child.INDVHH | | % of HH by FSC | I.FSL.food\_consumption\_score.HH | | % of HH not being able to make improvements to their shelter | int.sni.improvement\_unable | | % of HH where a barrier to education is the child being need to work | education\_barrier.help\_family OR education\_barrier.child\_income |   *HHs with at least one person requiring care for daily activities*   |  |  | | --- | --- | | % of HH taking on new debts (y/n) | I.coping.new\_debt | | % of HH by FSC | I.FSL.food\_consumption\_score.HH | | % of HH not being able to make improvements to their shelter | int.sni.improvement\_unable | | % of HH not being able to make improvements to their shelter *due to lack of labor* | improvement\_reason == "no\_labor" | | % of households paying money for healthcare | I.HEALTH.health\_expenses\_reported.HH | | % of households facing problems accessing markets | I.FSL.market\_problems\_any |   *Cross-sectoral or cross-indicator*  TBD – maximum 5 additional ones |
| 1 page | **Conclusion** | 1 page | **Conclusion** |